

Generalized Steinberg representations of split reductive linear algebraic groups

Y. Aït Amrane

November 3, 2009

Abstract

We generalize results of P. Schneider and U. Stuhler for GL_{l+1} to a reductive algebraic group G defined and split over a non-archimedean local field K . Following their lines, we prove that the generalized Steinberg representations of G with coefficients in any abelian group are cyclic. When G is semi-simple of adjoint type, we give an expression of these representations, whenever it is possible and in particular for those that are of maximal degree, in terms of the parahoric subgroups of G .

Introduction

Let K be a non-archimedean local field, i.e. a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$. Let G be the group of K -rational points of a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined and split over K . Let T be a split maximal torus in G and P be a Borel subgroup of G containing T . Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ be the root system of G with respect to T . Denote $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, where l is the semi-simple rank of G . Let $\Phi_0 = \{\alpha_i; i \in \Delta\}$ be the basis of simple roots defined by the Borel P . Let W be the Weyl group of Φ . For any $I \subseteq \Delta$, let P_I be the parabolic subgroup of G generated by P and the reflections s_{α_i} , $i \in I$.

Let M be an abelian group. For any $I \subseteq \Delta$, denote by $C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$ the space of M -valued locally constant functions on G/P_I . The action of G on $C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$ is induced by its left action on the quotient G/P_I . The Steinberg representation of G associated with the parabolic P_I is the $M[G]$ -module :

$$St_I(M) := \frac{C^\infty(G/P_I, M)}{\sum_{i \in \Delta \setminus I} C^\infty(G/P_{I \cup \{i\}}, M)}.$$

When $I = \emptyset$, this is the usual Steinberg representation of G .

Let B be the Iwahori subgroup of G which is associated with the Borel subgroup P by reduction modulo the maximal ideal of the valuation ring of K . For any $I \subseteq \Delta$, let

B_I be the parahoric subgroup of G generated by B and the reflections s_{α_i} , $i \in I$. Since we are working with any coefficients M , the theory of Bernstein, Borel and Casselman of representations generated by them Iwahori fixed vectors can not be applied. Instead, P. Schneider and U. Stuhler, in their paper [13], for the group $GL_{l+1}(K)$, by exploring the family $\{gB_IP_I/P_I; g \in G\}$ they proved that the generalized Steinberg representation of $GL_{l+1}(K)$ associated with the parabolic P_I is generated by the characteristic function $\chi_{B_IP_I}$. In this paper, after establishing some technical results, we show that their method works as well for our more general group G (Theorem 3.1) :

Theorem 0.1. *The $M[G]$ -module $C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$ is generated by the characteristic function $\chi_{B_IP_I}$.*

Let $C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)$ be the space of M -valued compactly supported locally constant functions on G/B_I . The action of G on $C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)$ comes from left translations on G/B_I . Consider the $M[G]$ -homomorphism

$$\Psi_I : C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M) \rightarrow C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$$

which to χ_{B_I} associates $\chi_{B_IP_I}$. Notice that by the above theorem it is surjective.

Assume that G is semi-simple of adjoint type. Let ω_i , $i \in \Delta$, be the fundamental coweights associated with the basis Φ_0 of the root system Φ . For any $i \in \Delta$, let $t_i \in T$ be the element that acts on the Bruhat-Tits building of G as the translation by ω_i . Again, following the work for $GL_{l+1}(K)$ of P. Schneider and U. Stuhler in [loc.cit.], we compute the kernel of the above homomorphism to obtain for our group G (Theorem 3.2) :

Theorem 0.2. *Let $I \subseteq \Delta$ be such that any positive root $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i$ in the root subsystem Φ_I satisfy $m_i \leq 1$ for any $i \in I$. The kernel of the surjective map*

$$H_I : C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$$

is the $M[G]$ -submodule R_I of $C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)$ generated by the functions $\chi_{Bt_j B_I} - \chi_{B_I}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Under the assumption on the root subsystem Φ_I in this theorem, we obtain as corollary (Corollary 3.2.1) an expression of the Steinberg representation of G associated to P_I in terms of the parahoric subgroups of G . Particulary, when $I = \emptyset$, we get (Corollary 3.2.2) :

Corollary 0.0.1. *We have an $M[G]$ -isomorphism*

$$St(M) \cong \frac{C_c^\infty(G/B, M)}{R + \sum_{i \in \Delta} C_c^\infty(G/B_{\{i\}}, M)}$$

where R is the $M[G]$ -submodule of $C_c^\infty(G/B, M)$ generated by the functions $\chi_{Bt_j B} - \chi_B$, $1 \leq j \leq l$.

In the first section, we introduce some notations and the notion of generalized Steinberg representations of G . In the second section, we recall the geometric definition of the Bruhat-Tits building associated to G and the main properties we need in this paper. We establish some technical results essentially about the parabolic and parahoric subgroups of G . We also generalize to G the theorem 1.7 established for $GL_{l+1}(K)$ in [2], namely that there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between the double classes in the linear Weyl group W and the Iwasawa cells in G . In the third section, we prove that the generalized Steinberg representations of G are cyclic, and then give under some conditions the generalized Steinberg representations in terms of parahoric subgroups.

It is a great pleasure to express my deep gratitude to Peter Schneider. He invited me to Münster University where I had the opportunity to learn more about the theory of algebraic groups and of the associated Bruhat-Tits buildings. He also gave me very helpful comments on this subject.

1 Generalized Steinberg representations

Let K be a non-archimedean local field. Let G be the group of K -rational points on a reductive linear algebraic group defined and split over K . Let l be its semi-simple rank.

For a parabolic subgroup Q of G , denote by $C^\infty(G/Q, M)$ the space of M -valued locally constant functions on G/Q . The action of G on $C^\infty(G/Q, M)$ is induced by its left action on the quotient G/Q . Notice that if Q' is a parabolic subgroup of G that contains Q we have a natural $M[G]$ -monomorphism $C^\infty(G/Q', M) \rightarrow C^\infty(G/Q, M)$. The Steinberg representation of G associated with the parabolic subgroup Q is the $M[G]$ -module

$$St_Q(M) = \frac{C^\infty(G/Q, M)}{\sum_{Q'} C^\infty(G/Q', M)}$$

where the sum is taken over the parabolic subgroups Q' of G containing Q . When Q is Borel this is the usual Steinberg representation of G .

Let T be a split maximal torus in G . Let $X^*(T)$, respectively $X_*(T)$, denote the group of characters, respectively cocharacters, of T . By [4, Ch.III, §8.6], we have a perfect pairing of abelian groups

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X_*(T) \times X^*(T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

given by $\langle \lambda, \chi \rangle = m$ if $(\chi \circ \lambda)(x) = x^m$.

Let $V = X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and identify its dual vector space V^* with $X^*(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ be the root system of G with respect to T . To every root $\alpha \in \Phi$ corresponds a coroot $\check{\alpha} \in V$ and a convolution that acts on V by :

$$s_\alpha(x) = x - \langle x, \alpha \rangle \check{\alpha}.$$

Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of (G, T) . We can see that $N_G(T)$ acts on $X_*(T)$ by conjugation and then T acts trivially. So we have an action of W on V by automorphisms. We can identify W to the Weyl group of Φ .

Fix a Borel subgroup P of G containing T . Let $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and $\Phi_0 = \{\alpha_i; i \in \Delta\}$ the set of the simple roots defined by the Borel P . The Weyl group W is generated by the reflections s_{α_i} , $i \in \Delta$. For any $I \subseteq \Delta$, let W_I be the subgroup of W generated by s_{α_i} , $i \in I$. We have the following well-known facts (see [4] or [15]) :

- (i) The set

$$P_I = PW_IP = \coprod_{w \in W_I} PwP$$

is a parabolic subgroup of G containing P .

- (ii) For any root $\alpha \in \Phi$ there exists an isomorphism x_α of the additive group of K onto a unique closed subgroup U_α of G such that

$$tx_\alpha(a)t^{-1} = x_\alpha(\alpha(t)a) \quad \text{for any } a \in K \text{ and } t \in T. \quad (1)$$

The group G is generated by T and the U_α 's, $\alpha \in \Phi$. A Chevalley system $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ in G is a choice of such isomorphisms. Also, see [15, Ex. 8.1.12]), for any $w \in W$ and any $\alpha \in \Phi$ there is $c_{w,\alpha} \in K^*$ such that :

$$wx_\alpha(a)w^{-1} = x_{w\alpha}(c_{w,\alpha}a), \quad \text{for any } a \in K. \quad (2)$$

- (iii) Let Φ^+ (resp. Φ^-) be the set of positive roots (resp. negative roots) of Φ with respect to P . Denote by U^+ (resp. U^-) the unipotent radical of the Borel P (resp. of the opposite Borel of P). For any ordering on Φ , the product map induces bijections

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^\pm} U_\alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} U^\pm. \quad (3)$$

More generally, see [12, § 0.17.(iii)], for $I \subseteq \Delta$, if we denote by U_I^+ (resp. U_I^-) the unipotent radical of the parabolic P_I (resp. of the opposite parabolic), the product map induces bijections

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Psi_I^\pm} U_\alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} U_I^\pm \quad (4)$$

where $\Psi_I^\pm = \Phi^\pm - \Phi_I$ and Φ_I is the root subsystem of Φ of the roots that are linear combinations of the α_i 's, $i \in I$.

- (iv) Any parabolic subgroup of G containing P is some P_I for a unique $I \subseteq \Delta$. Any parabolic subgroup of G is conjugate to some P_I .

Since of this last fact, from now on, we need only to consider the Steinberg representations of G associated with the P_I 's, $I \subseteq \Delta$, they will be denoted by :

$$St_I(M) := St_{P_I}(M) = \frac{C^\infty(G/P_I, M)}{\sum_{i \in \Delta \setminus I} C^\infty(G/P_{I \cup \{i\}}, M)}.$$

2 Bruhat-Tits buildings

Let K be a complete field with respect to a discrete valuation ω such that $\omega(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$. Let O be its valuation ring, $\pi \in O$ an uniformizing element and $k = O/\pi$ the residue field.

Let G be the group of K -rational points of a reductive linear algebraic group defined and split over K . Only for simplicity, assume G is semi-simple of rank l . Let T be a split maximal torus in G and $N = N_G(T)$ its normalizer in G .

For complete presentations on the Bruhat-Tits building attached to a reductive group, the reader may refer to the original construction of F. Bruhat and J. Tits, [7] and [8]. For more concise presentations the reader may refer to [12] and [14].

2.1 The building

Let A be an affine space under the vector space V . It is a Coxeter complex, where the walls of the chambers are the hyperplanes :

$$H_{\alpha,r} := \{x \in A; \langle x, \alpha \rangle + r = 0\}, \quad \alpha \in \Phi, r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

There is a unique group homomorphism $\nu : T \rightarrow X_*(T) \subset V$ such that :

$$\langle \nu(t), \chi \rangle = -\omega(\chi(t)) \quad (5)$$

for any $t \in T$ and any $\chi \in X^*(T)$. The torus T acts on A by translations :

$$tx := x + \nu(t); \quad t \in T, x \in A. \quad (6)$$

This action can be extended to an action of N on A by affine automorphisms. The space A equipped with its simplicial structure and of the affine action of N is called the fundamental apartment of the building.

For any nonempty subset $\Omega \subseteq A$, let $f_\Omega : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the map defined by

$$f_\Omega(\alpha) = -\inf_{x \in \Omega} \{\alpha(x)\}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \Phi$ and any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $U_{\alpha,r} = \{x_\alpha(a); a \in K \text{ and } \omega(a) \geq r\}$ and denote by U_Ω the subgroup of G generated by the subgroups $U_{\alpha,f_\Omega(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$.

The Bruhat-Tits building X of G is obtained by taking the quotient of the direct product $G \times A$ by the equivalence relation :

$$(g, x) \sim (h, y) \quad \text{if there is } n \in N \text{ s.t. } y = nx \text{ and } g^{-1}hn \in U_{\{x\}}.$$

The action of G on X is given by

$$g \cdot \text{Class}(h, y) = \text{Class}(gh, y) \quad \text{for } g \in G \text{ and } (h, y) \in G \times A.$$

The apartment A is viewed as a subset of X by the embedding $x \mapsto \text{Class}(1, x)$. The other apartments of X are the gA 's, $g \in G$.

Let $\Omega \subseteq X$ be a non-empty subset. We define $\mathcal{P}_\Omega$ to be the pointwise stabilizer of Ω in G

$$\mathcal{P}_\Omega := \{g \in G; gx = x \text{ for any } x \in \Omega\}.$$

In case $\Omega \subseteq A$, by the action of N on the apartment A , we can also define N_Ω to be the pointwise stabilizer of Ω in N and we have $\mathcal{P}_\Omega = U_\Omega N_\Omega$.

Recall from §1 that $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and that $\Phi_0 = \{\alpha_i; i \in \Delta\}$ is the set of the simple roots corresponding to the Borel P , that $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ is a decomposition of Φ into positive and negative roots with respect to the fixed Borel P , and that U^+ (resp. U^-) is the unipotent radical of P (resp. of the opposite Borel of P). Denote $U_\Omega^\pm = U_\Omega \cap U^\pm$. For any ordering of the factors the product map induces bijections

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^\pm} U_{\alpha, f_\Omega(\alpha)} \rightarrow U_\Omega^\pm, \quad (7)$$

and the group $\mathcal{P}_\Omega$ has the following Iwahori decomposition :

$$\mathcal{P}_\Omega = U_\Omega^- U_\Omega^+ N_\Omega. \quad (8)$$

Since the action of G on the building is in fact transitive on the chambers (simplices of maximal dimension), we will focus only on what we call the fundamental chamber. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the longest root in Φ . The fundamental chamber C is the chamber of the apartment A defined by

$$C := \{x \in A; \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } i \in \Delta \text{ and } \langle x, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

Also, for any $I \subseteq \Delta$, define C_I to be simplex which is the face of C given by

$$C_I = \{x \in C; \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle = 0 \text{ for } i \in I\}.$$

Notice that for $\Omega = C$, it is not hard to see that when $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ we have $f_\Omega(\alpha) = 0$, and when $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ we have $0 \leq f_\Omega \leq 1$ and then $U_{\alpha, f_\Omega(\alpha)} = U_{\alpha, 1}$. Therefore, for any ordering on Φ^+ and on Φ^- , by (7) the product map induces bijections :

$$U_C^- = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} U_{\alpha, 1} \quad \text{and} \quad U_C^+ = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} U_{\alpha, 0}. \quad (9)$$

According to Casselman [9, Proof of Prop. 1.4.4.], there exists a smooth group scheme $\mathbf{G}$ over O such that $G \cong \mathbf{G} \times \text{Spec}(K)$. For each $n \geq 0$, let

$$\mathbf{G}(O) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(O/\pi^n O) \quad (10)$$

be the reduction homomorphism modulo π^n . For any $I \subseteq \Delta$, let B_I be the inverse image by the reduction mod π of the parabolic $P_I(k)$. In fact B_I is nothing else than the pointwise stabilizer $\mathcal{P}_{C_I}$ in G of the simplex C_I .

For later use, we need also to consider what we call the fundamental vectorial chamber

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{x \in A; \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } i \in \Delta\},$$

and its faces which are the conical cells $\mathfrak{C}_I$, $I \subseteq \Delta$, given by

$$\mathfrak{C}_I = \{x \in A; \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle = 0 \text{ for } i \in I \text{ and } \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in \Delta - I\}.$$

Notice that P_I is the stabilizer of the simplex $(\mathfrak{C}_I)_\infty$ defined at infinity by the conical cell $\mathfrak{C}_I$, see [5]. Therefore, see [1], the intersection $B_I \cap P_I$ is the pointwise stabilizer in G of the conical cell $\mathfrak{C}_I$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_I} = B_I \cap P_I.$$

To finish this paragraph, recall also that we have the following important decompositions in G :

Bruhat-Tits decomposition : For any $I \subseteq \Delta$, we have

$$B_I = \coprod_{w \in W_I} BwB.$$

Cartan decomposition : Consider the monoid

$$T^{++} = \{t \in T; \omega \circ \alpha_i(t) \leq 0 \text{ for any } i \in \Delta\}.$$

We have

$$G = \coprod_{t \in T^{++}} = \mathbf{G}(O)t\mathbf{G}(O).$$

2.2 Iwahori decomposition

Recall from §1 that for any $I \subseteq \Delta$, we have denoted :

- Φ_I the set of all roots in Φ that are linear combinations of the simple roots α_i , $i \in I$.
- $\Psi_I^+ = \Phi^+ - \Phi_I$ and $\Psi_I^- = \Phi^- - \Phi_I$.
- U_I^+ (resp. U_I^-) the unipotent group generated by the U_α 's, $\alpha \in \Psi_I^+$ (resp. $\alpha \in \Psi_I^-$).

Let $I \subseteq \Delta$. It is essentially proven, see [11, Proof of Th. 2.5], that the Iwahori subgroup B has an Iwahori factorization with respect to the parabolic P_I , i.e. we have

$$B = (B \cap U_I^-)(B \cap P_I). \quad (11)$$

Since $B = \mathcal{P}_C$, we have

$$B \cap U_I^- = U_\Omega \cap U_I^- = \prod_{\alpha \in \Psi_I^-} U_{\alpha,1}, \quad (12)$$

and using formulas (1) and (5), we can see that we have

$$t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1} \subseteq B \cap U_I^- \quad \text{for any } t \in T^{++}. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 2.2.1. *For any $w \in W$ we have $w(B \cap U^-)w^{-1} \subset U_C \subset B$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ and let $w \in W$. We have $wU_{\alpha,1}w^{-1} = U_{w\alpha,1}$. If $w\alpha \in \Phi^-$, then $U_{w\alpha,1} \subseteq U_C^- \subseteq U_C$. If $w\alpha \in \Phi^+$, then $U_{w\alpha,1} \subseteq U_{w\alpha,0} \subseteq U_C^+ \subseteq U_C$. So in either case, $wU_{\alpha,1}w^{-1} \subseteq U_C$. By (12), we get

$$w(B \cap U^-)w^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} wU_{\alpha,1}w^{-1} \subseteq U_C \subseteq B.$$

□

Lemma 2.2.2. *Let $I \subseteq \Delta$. For any $w \in W_I$, we have $w(B \cap U_I^-)w^{-1} = B \cap U_I^-$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Psi_I^-$, then $\alpha = \sum_{i \in \Delta} n_i \alpha_i$ with $n_j < 0$ for some $j \in \Delta - I$. Therefore $s_i \alpha \in \Psi_I^-$ for any $i \in I$. Then W_I stabilize Ψ_I^- .

Let $w \in W_I$, let $\alpha \in \Psi_I^-$ and $u \in U_\alpha$. Let $a \in K$ such that $x_\alpha(a) = u$. By (2) there is a constant $c_{w,\alpha} \in K$ such that $wx_\alpha(a)w^{-1} = x_{w\alpha}(c_{w,\alpha}a)$; thus $wuw^{-1} = wx_\alpha(a)w^{-1}$ is in $U_{w\alpha}$ with $w\alpha \in \Psi_I^-$. Henceforth, since U_I^- is generated by the U_α 's, $\alpha \in \Psi_I^-$, we deduce that W_I normalizes U_I^- . Finally, since we have $U_I^- \subseteq U^-$ we get

$$w(B \cap U_I^-)w^{-1} = w(B \cap U^- \cap U_I^-)w^{-1} = w(B \cap U^-)w^{-1} \cap wU_I^-w^{-1}$$

for any $w \in W_I$. By Lemma 2.2.1 above we have $w(B \cap U^-)w^{-1} \subset B$ for any $w \in W$ and so for any $w \in W_I$, and we just established that W_I normalizes U_I^- . □

The following proposition is proved for the group GL_{l+1} in [13, Lemma 14.i.]. With the same arguments and using Lemma 2.2.1 above, we get

Proposition 2.1. *Let $I \subseteq \Delta$. We have the factorization*

$$B_I = (B \cap U_I^-)(B_I \cap P_I).$$

Proof. Notice that for any $w \in W$, by the Iwahori factorization of B with respect to P and using Lemma 2.2.1 we get

$$BwB = Bw(B \cap U^-)(B \cap P) = Bw(B \cap P).$$

By the Bruhat-Tits decomposition and the Iwahori factorization of B with respect to P_I , we then get

$$B_I = \coprod_{w \in W_I} BwB = \coprod_{w \in W_I} (B \cap U_I^-)(B \cap P_I)w(B \cap P).$$

Since for any $w \in W_I$ we have $(B \cap P_I)w(B \cap P) \subseteq B_I \cap P_I$, we deduce that $B_I = (B \cap U_I^-)(B_I \cap P_I)$. □

Moreover, if for any $I \subseteq \Delta$ we define

$$T_I^{++} = \{t \in T^{++}; \omega \circ \alpha_i(t) = 0 \text{ for } i \in I\},$$

we have

$$t^{-1}(B_I \cap P_I)t \subseteq B_I \cap P_I \quad \text{for any } t \in T_I^{++}. \quad (14)$$

Indeed, this comes from the fact that $B_I \cap P_I$ is the stabilizer of the conical cell $\mathfrak{C}_I$ and geometrically we can check easily that for any $t \in T_I^{++}$ the translation of $\mathfrak{C}_I$ by $\nu(t)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{C}_I$.

2.3 Generalized Iwasawa decomposition

In this paragraph we shall follow the principal steps in [2, §1.2.2.] and use the Iwasawa decomposition of G

$$G = \coprod_{w \in W} BwP$$

to prove Theorem 2.1 which gives such a decomposition of G but with respect to B_I on the left and to P_I on the right for any $I \subseteq \Delta$. All is based on the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $w \in W$, $\alpha \in \Phi_0$. We have*

$$1. \ wPs_\alpha \subseteq BwP \cup Bws_\alpha P$$

$$2. \ s_\alpha Bw \subseteq BwP \cup Bs_\alpha wP$$

Proof. Let $w \in W$, $\alpha \in \Phi_0$. Proving 1. and 2. comes to prove respectively

$$1'. \ Ps_\alpha \subseteq B'P \cup B's_\alpha P \text{ where we set } B' = w^{-1}Bw.$$

$$2'. \ s_\alpha B \subseteq BP' \cup Bs_\alpha P' \text{ where we set } P' = wPw^{-1}.$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we denote by G_α the centralizer in G of the connected component of $\text{Ker } \alpha$. It is a reductive group of semi-simple rank one, and is generated by T , U_α and $U_{-\alpha}$. We know, see for example [15, Th. 6.4.7 (ii)], that the intersection of a Borel subgroup G containing T with G_α is a Borel subgroup of G_α .

- *Proof of 1'.* Let us prove that we have $Ps_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha P$. Give an ordering of Φ^+ such that we have $U^+ = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+} U_\beta = U_\alpha \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} U_\beta$, we then have

$$U^+ s_\alpha = s_\alpha (s_\alpha U_\alpha s_\alpha) \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} (s_\alpha U_\beta s_\alpha) = s_\alpha U_{s_\alpha(\alpha)} \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} U_{s_\alpha(\beta)}.$$

Since we have $s_\alpha(\alpha) = -\alpha$, and by [6, Ch. VI, §1.6, Cor. 1] the reflection s_α permutes the positive roots in $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$, we get

$$U^+ s_\alpha = s_\alpha U_{-\alpha} \prod_{\beta \in s_\alpha(\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\})} U_\beta \subseteq s_\alpha U_{-\alpha} U^+ \subseteq G_\alpha P.$$

Thus $Ps_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha P$. Now, to prove 1', it is enough to prove

$$G_\alpha \subseteq (B' \cap G_\alpha)(P \cap G_\alpha) \cup (B' \cap G_\alpha)s_\alpha(P \cap G_\alpha).$$

But, the group $B' \cap G_\alpha$ is the Iwahori subgroup of G_α corresponding to the Borel $w^{-1}Pw \cap G_\alpha$. So, depending on w and α , it could be either $B \cap G_\alpha$ which corresponds to the Borel $P \cap G_\alpha$, either $s_\alpha(B \cap G_\alpha)s_\alpha$ which corresponds to the opposite Borel of $P \cap G_\alpha$. In either cases, the inclusion above which is an equality is nothing else than the Iwasawa decomposition in G_α .

- *Proof of 2'.* Similarly, let us first prove that we have the inclusion $s_\alpha B \subseteq BG_\alpha$. By the bijections (9), fix an ordering of Φ^- and of Φ^+ such that we have

$$U_C^- = \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^- \setminus \{-\alpha\}} U_{\beta,1} \right) U_{-\alpha,1} \quad \text{and} \quad U_C^+ = U_{\alpha,0} \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} U_{\beta,0} \right).$$

Therefore, as we did in 1' above, we have

$$s_\alpha U_C^- U_C^+ = \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^- \setminus \{-\alpha\}} U_{s_\alpha(\beta),1} \right) U_{\alpha,1} \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} U_{s_\alpha(\beta),0} \right) U_{-\alpha,0} s_\alpha.$$

Since s_α permutes the negative roots in $\Phi^- \setminus \{-\alpha\}$ and respectively the positive roots in $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$, we get

$$\left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^- \setminus \{-\alpha\}} U_{s_\alpha(\beta),1} \right) \subseteq U_C^- \subseteq B \quad \text{resp.} \quad U_{\alpha,1} \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} U_{s_\alpha(\beta),0} \right) \subseteq U_C^+ \subseteq B.$$

Since we also have $U_{-\alpha,0} s_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$, we deduce $s_\alpha U_C^- U_C^+ \subseteq BG_\alpha$. Therefore $s_\alpha B \subseteq BG_\alpha$. Now, for the proof of 2', it is enough to see that we have

$$G_\alpha \subseteq (B \cap G_\alpha)(P' \cap G_\alpha) \cup (B \cap G_\alpha)s_\alpha(P' \cap G_\alpha).$$

Indeed, as for 1' above, this is again the Iwasawa decomposition in G_α . □

Corollary 2.3.1. *Let $w \in W$, $u_1, \dots, u_d \in S$. We have :*

$$1. \quad wPu_1 \cdots u_d \subseteq \bigcup_{(l_1, \dots, l_p)} Bwu_{l_1} \cdots u_{l_d} P$$

$$2. \quad u_1 \cdots u_d Bw \subseteq \bigcup_{(l_1, \dots, l_p)} Bu_{l_1} \cdots u_{l_d} wP$$

where $(l_1, \dots, l_p)$ runs through all the increasing sequences of the interval $\{1, \dots, d\}$.

Proof. Induct on d and use Proposition 2.2. □

Corollary 2.3.2. *Let I_1, I_2 be subsets of Δ . For any $w \in W$ we have $B_{I_1} w P_{I_2} = BW_{I_1} w W_{I_2} P$. In particular, for any $I \subseteq \Delta$, we have $B_I P_I = BP_I = B_I P$.*

Proof. See [2, Cor. 1.6 and Rem. 1.8]. □

Theorem 2.1. *Let I_1, I_2 be subsets of Δ . The map $W \rightarrow B_{I_1} \backslash G / P_{I_2}$ which to w associates the double coset $B_{I_1} w P_{I_2}$ induces a bijection :*

$$W_{I_1} \backslash W / W_{I_2} \sim B_{I_1} \backslash G / P_{I_2}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in [2, Th. 1.7]. □

3 Generalized Steinberg representations and parahoric subgroups

3.1 Generalized Steinberg representations

Let G be the group of K -rational points of a reductive linear algebraic group defined and split over K and of semi-simple rank l . In this paragraph, since the parabolic subgroups contain the unipotent radical of G , we can assume G to be semi-simple. By using central isogenies, we can even assume that G is of adjoint type.

The following result is established for the group GL_{l+1} in [13], Propositions 7 and 8'.i. Since it was stated for any $I \subseteq \Delta$ but the proof given in details only in the case $I = \emptyset$, for the convenience of the reader we will give the proof here. We can see that it remains valid for our more general group G .

Proposition 3.1. *Let $b, b' \in G(O)$, let $t \in T_I^{++}$. If the sets btB_IP_I and $b'tB_IP_I$ are not disjoint then they are equal and we have $btB_I = b'tB_I$ and $bB_I = b'B_I$.*

Proof. It suffices to assume the sets tB_IP_I and btB_IP_I to be not disjoint. By Corollary 2.3.2 and the Iwahori factorization of B with respect to the parabolic P_I , we have

$$B_IP_I = btBP_I = (B \cap U_I^-)(B \cap P_I)P_I = (B \cap U_I^-)P_I,$$

and since $t \in T_I^{++} \subseteq P_I$, therefore $P_I = t^{-1}P_I$, we get

$$tB_IP_I = t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1}P_I \tag{15}$$

Since we have $t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1} \subseteq B \cap U_I^-$, we deduce that $tB_IP_I \subseteq B_IP_I$ and $btB_IP_I \subseteq bB_IP_I$. Therefore our assumption implies that the sets B_IP_I and bB_IP_I are not disjoint. Let then $b_0, b_1 \in B_I$ and $p \in P_I$ such that $bb_0 = b_1p$. Then $p = b_1^{-1}bb_0 \in G(O) \cap P_I = B_I \cap P_I$. Hence $b = b_1pb_0^{-1} \in B_I$. From Proposition 2.1, there is $b' \in B \cap U_I^-$ such that $b^{-1}b' \in B_I \cap P_I$. Now, since $t \in T_I^{++}$, by (14) we have $t^{-1}(B_I \cap P_I)t \subseteq B_I \cap P_I$, and then $t^{-1}b^{-1}b't \in B_I \cap P_I$. Therefore

$$btB_IP_I = b'tB_IP_I = b't(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1}P_I. \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16), our assumption implies

$$t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1}P_I \cap b't(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1}P_I \neq \emptyset. \quad (17)$$

Since $b' \in B \cap U_I^-$ and we have $t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1} \subseteq B \cap U_I^-$, we must have

$$t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1} \cap b't(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1} \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus $b' \in t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1}$, and hence $b \in t(B \cap U_I^-)t^{-1}(B_I \cap P_I)$. The assertions should follow from this. $\square$

Since we assumed G of adjoint type, we know that the natural inclusion $X_*(T) \subseteq P(\check{\Phi})$ of the group of cocharacters of T in the coweight lattice of the root system Φ is in fact an equality. Since T is split the homomorphism $\nu : T \rightarrow X_*(T)$ given in § 2.1 by the formula (5) is surjective. If we denote by ω_j the fundamental coweights, for any $j \in \Delta$ we can take $t_j \in T$ such that $\nu(t_j) = \omega_j$. Also, for any $I \subseteq \Delta$, put

$$t_I := \prod_{j \in \Delta \setminus I} t_j.$$

The following result is also stated in [13] for the group $GL_{l+1}(K)$. We will follow the lines of the proof given there, but still we have to prove some arguments in the more general situation of our group.

Proposition 3.2. *The sets $t_I^n B_I P_I / P_I$, $n \geq 0$, form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the trivial coset in G/P_I .*

Proof. For any integer $n \geq 0$, let K_n be the kernel of the homomorphism reduction modulo π^n , see § 2.1. According to [9, Prop. 1.4.4] the family of the sets $K^{(n)}$, $n \geq 0$, is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the identity in G . Therefore, so is the family of the sets $B^{(n)} := B \cap K^{(n)}$, $n \geq 0$. Now, we need only to show that for any n we have $t_I^n B_I P_I \subseteq B^{(n)} P_I$. By the Iwahori decomposition $B_I = (B \cap U_I^-)(B \cap P_I)$ and since $t_I \in P_I$ we have

$$t_I^n B_I P_I = t_I^n (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n} P_I.$$

Let us now prove that $t_I^n (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n} \subseteq B^{(n)}$. We have $B \cap U_I^- = \prod_{\alpha \in \Psi_I^-} U_{\alpha,1}$, thus

$$t_I^n (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Psi_I^-} t_I^n U_{\alpha,1} t_I^{-n}.$$

Let $u \in U_{\alpha,1}$, thus $u = x_\alpha(a)$ with $a \in K$ and $\omega(a) \geq 1$. We want to show that $t_I^n u t_I^{-n} = t_I^n x_\alpha(a) t_I^{-n} \in B^{(n)}$. By (1) we have $t_I^n u t_I^{-n} = x_\alpha(\alpha(t_I^n) a)$, and by (5) we have

$$w(\alpha(t_I^n)) = - \langle \nu(t_I^n), \alpha \rangle = n \langle \nu(t_I), -\alpha \rangle = n \langle \sum_{j \in \Delta \setminus I} \nu(t_j), -\alpha \rangle.$$

Now since $\alpha \in \Psi_I^-$, we have $-\alpha = \sum_{i \in \Delta} n_i \alpha_i$ with $n_i \geq 0$ for any $i \in \Delta$ and $n_j \geq 1$ for at least one $j \in \Delta \setminus I$. Hence

$$w(\alpha(t_I^n)) = n < \sum_{j \in \Delta \setminus I} \omega_j, \sum_{i \in \Delta} n_i \alpha_i > = n \sum_{j \in \Delta \setminus I} n_j \geq n.$$

Therefore, we have $t_I^n u t_I^{-n} = x_\alpha(\alpha(t_I^n) a)$ with $\omega(\alpha(t_I^n) a) = (\alpha(t_I^n)) + \omega(a) \geq n + 1$. We have just proved $t_I^n U_{\alpha,1} t_I^{-n} \subseteq U_{\alpha,n+1} \subseteq B^{(n)}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.1.1. *Any compact open subset in G/P_I can be written, for any $n \geq 0$ big enough, as a finite disjoint union of subsets of the form $bt_I^n B_I P_I / P_I$ with $b \in G(O)$.*

Proof. See also [13, prop. 8 and 8'.ii]. Notice that we have

$$t_I^n B_I P_I = t_I^n (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n} P_I = \coprod_x x t_I^{n+1} (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n-1} P_I = \coprod_x x t_I^{n+1} B_I P_I$$

where x runs through the left cosets of $t_I^{n+1} (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n-1}$ in $t_I^n (B \cap U_I^-) t_I^{-n}$. $\square$

As a consequence of this, we get the following result which implies that the generalized Steinberg representations of G are cyclic.

Theorem 3.1. *The $M[G]$ -module $C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$ is generated by the element $\chi_{B_I P_I}$.*

3.2 Generalized Steinberg representations and parahoric subgroups

Let G be the group of K -rational points of a semi-simple linear algebraic group defined and split over K , of rank l and of adjoint type. Let M be an abelian group.

Let X be a totally discontinuous topological Space. We denote by $C_c^\infty(X, M)$ the space of M -valued compactly supported locally constant functions on X .

Notice that, for any $I \subseteq \Delta$, there is an action of G on the space $C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)$ induced by its left action on G/B_I .

In order to interpret the generalized Steinberg representations in terms of parahoric subgroups, we start by considering the homomorphism of $M[G]$ -modules :

$$H : C_c^\infty(G/B, M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(G/P, M)$$

defined by $H(\varphi) = \varphi \star \chi_{BP} := \sum_{g \in G/B} \varphi(g) \cdot g(\chi_{BP})$. Since we have

$$B_I P_I = B_I P = \left(\coprod_{g \in B_I/B} gB \right) P = \coprod_{g \in B_I/B} gBP,$$

where the last union is disjoint by Proposition 3.1, we get

$$H(\chi_{B_I}) = \sum_{g \in G/B} \chi_{B_I}(g) \cdot g(\chi_{BP}) = \sum_{g \in B_I/B} g(\chi_{BP}) = \chi_{B_I P} = \chi_{B_I P_I}.$$

Thus H induces an $M[G]$ -homomorphism which by Theorem 3.1 is surjective :

$$H_I : C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(G/P_I, M).$$

What essentially remains to do is to compute the kernel of this homomorphism. For this point we need some technical lemma. First, recall that the convex closure $\text{cl}(\Omega)$ of a subset Ω of A is the intersection of all the closed half spaces in A that contain Ω , i.e. we have

$$\text{cl}(\Omega) = \bigcap_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi, r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}} \supseteq \Omega}} \overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}}$$

where $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}} = \{x \in A; \langle x, \alpha \rangle + r \geq 0\}$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $I \subseteq \Delta$. We have*

$$\text{cl}(C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi_0} \overline{H^+_{\alpha, 0}} \cap \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi_I^-} \overline{H^+_{\alpha, 1}}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that $C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I$ is contained in any half plane involved in the right hand side of the equality. So is the convex closure $\text{cl}(C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I)$. To prove the other inclusion, take any half plane $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}}$, $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, that contains $C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I$, it is clear that it should contain the origin 0 which is a vertex of C , this means that we must have $r \geq 0$. Now, in case $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we have $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}} \supseteq \overline{H^+_{\alpha, 0}}$ and the intersection of all the half planes $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, 0}}$ is the same as the intersection of those with $\alpha \in \Phi_0$ and this is the topological closure of the sector $\mathfrak{C}$. In case $\alpha \in \Phi^-$, if $\alpha \in \Phi_I^-$, so $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i$ with some $m_j < 0$, the vertex ω_j/n_j of C should belong to $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}}$, so we should have $\langle \omega_j/n_j, \alpha \rangle + r \geq 0$, thus $r \geq -m_j/n_j > 0$, therefore we must have $r \geq 1$, thus $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}} \supseteq \overline{H^+_{\alpha, 1}}$. In case $\alpha \in \Phi^- \setminus \Phi_I$, we then have $\alpha = \sum_{i \in \Delta} m_i \alpha_i$ with $m_j < 0$ for some $j \in \Delta \setminus I$. For any positive integer n , since $n\omega_j$ is a vertex of $\mathfrak{C}_I$ it belongs to $\overline{H^+_{\alpha, r}}$, therefore $\langle n\omega_j, \alpha \rangle + r \geq 0$; thus $r \geq -nm_j$ for any positive integer n , and this is impossible. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $I \subseteq \Delta$ be such that any positive root $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i$ in the root subsystem Φ_I satisfy $m_i \leq 1$ for any $i \in I$. The kernel of the surjective map*

$$H_I : C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(G/P_I, M)$$

is the $M[G]$ -submodule R_I of $C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)$ generated by the functions $\chi_{B_l B_I} - \chi_{B_I}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Proof. There is no need to give all the proof here since it is the same as given for the group GL_{l+1} in [13, Prop. 15]. However, the following argument needs to be checked out in the more general case

$$t_j^{-1}(B \cap P_I)t_j \subseteq B_I \cap P_I \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq j \leq l.$$

This argument which is in [loc.cit., Lemma 16] as an exercise is valid if and only if any positive root $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i$ in the root subsystem Φ_I satisfy $m_i \leq 1$ for any $i \in I$. Indeed, when $j \in \Delta \setminus I$, we have $t_j \in T_I^{++}$, so by (14) we get

$$t_j^{-1}(B \cap P_I)t_j \subseteq t_j^{-1}(B_I \cap P_I)t_j \subseteq B_I \cap P_I.$$

Now, using the fact that the stabilizer of a set and of its convex closure is the same, we have

$$B \cap P_I = B \cap B_I \cap P_I = \mathcal{P}_C \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_I} = \mathcal{P}_{C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{cl}(C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I)}.$$

Therefore, our argument is equivalent to the following

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{cl}(C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I)} \subseteq t_j \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_I} t_j^{-1} \quad \text{for any } j \in I$$

or to

$$\omega_j + \mathfrak{C}_I \subseteq \text{cl}(C \cup \mathfrak{C}_I) \quad \text{for any } j \in I.$$

It remains to notice that for $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i \in \Phi_I^-$ and $x \in \mathfrak{C}_I$, we have

$$\langle \omega_j + x, \alpha \rangle + 1 = m_j + 1.$$

So $\omega_j + x \in \overline{H^+}_{\alpha,1}$ if and only if $m_j \geq -1$. □

As consequences, we get the generalized Steinberg representations of G in terms of the parahoric subgroups :

Corollary 3.2.1. *Let $I \subseteq \Delta$ be as in Theorem 3.2 above. We have an isomorphism of $M[G]$ -modules :*

$$St_I(M) \cong \frac{C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)}{R_I + \sum_{i \in \Delta \setminus I} C_c^\infty(G/B_{I \cup \{i\}}, M)}$$

where R_I is the $M[G]$ -submodule of $C_c^\infty(G/B_I, M)$ generated by the functions $\chi_{Bt_j B_I} - \chi_{B_I}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Proof. Apply the theorem above. □

Corollary 3.2.2. *We have an isomorphism of $M[G]$ -modules :*

$$St(M) \cong \frac{C_c^\infty(G/B, M)}{R + \sum_{i \in \Delta} C_c^\infty(G/B_{\{i\}}, M)}$$

where R is the $M[G]$ -submodule of $C_c^\infty(G/B, M)$ generated by the functions $\chi_{Bt_j B} - \chi_B$, $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Proof. Just take $I = \emptyset$ in the corollary above.

References

- [1] Y. Aït Amrane, Cohomologie des espaces symétriques de Drinfel'd, cocycles harmoniques et formes automorphes, PhD Thesis, University of Toulouse 3, 2003.
- [2] Y. Aït Amrane, Cohomology of Drinfeld symmetric spaces and harmonic cochains, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 56 (2006), no. 3, p. 561-597.
- [3] A. Borel, Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup, Inv. Math. 35 (1976), p. 233-259.
- [4] A. Borel, Linear algebraic groups, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1991.
- [5] A. Borel, J.-P. Serre, Cohomologie d'immeubles et de groupes S -arithmétiques, Topology 15 (1976), 211-232.
- [6] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chap. 4-6, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [7] F. Bruhat, J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local I : Données radicielles valuées, Publ. Math. IHES 41 (1972), p. 5-251.
- [8] F. Bruhat, J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local II : Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée, Publ. Math. IHES 60 (1984), p. 197-376.
- [9] W. Casselman, Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic reductive groups, Preprint.
- [10] E. de Shalit, Residues on buildings and de Rham cohomology of p -adic symmetric domains, Duke Math. J., 106 (2000), p. 123-191.
- [11] N. Iwahori, H. Matsumoto, On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p -adic Chevalley groups, Publ. Math. IHES 25 (1965), p. 5-48.
- [12] E. Landvogt, A compactification of the Bruhat-Tits building, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1619, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [13] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, The cohomology of p -adic symmetric spaces, Inv. Math. 105 (1991), p. 47-122.
- [14] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat-Tits buildings, Publ. Math. IHES 85 (1997), p. 97-191.
- [15] T.A. Springer, Linear algebraic groups, 2nd Edition, Birkhäuser, Boston 1998.

Y. AÏT AMRANE,
Faculté de Mathématiques,
USTHB BP32, Al Alia,
16111 Bab-Ezzouar, ALGER.
e-mail adress : yaitamrane@usthb.dz or amrane@math.cnrs.fr